

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,313	10/23/2001	Steven J. Wojcik	KCX-435 (16066)	9842
7	590 11/18/2003 ·		EXAM	INER
John E. Vick, Jr.			RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
Dority & Manning Attorneys at Law, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1449			3654	
Greenville, SC 29602			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			$\sim \sim$				
Ĭ		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
(200 - A - 4" O		10/040,313	WOJCIK ET AL.				
28	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	T	William A Rivera	3654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 20-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13-15 and 17-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
	on Papers	4-000000					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting ference was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the first sentence	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ext sentence of the specification or visional application has been received.	on No d in this National Stage d.) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/040,313

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 12, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nystrand et al (U.S. Patent No. Re. 28,353).

With respect to Claims 1-9, 12, 20 and 25, Nystrand et al, Figures 1-23, teach a device for severing and carrying a web in a web winding operation, comprising:

a first and second blade, the blades operably connected and spaced apart a predetermined distance to form a gap, the gap is sized to receive a severing mechanism; and a unitary elongated mandrel connected to at least the first blade comprising a plurality of spaced pins.

With respect to Claim 25, the method described in these claims would inherently result from the use of device of Nystrand et al as advanced above.

Claims 1-9, 12, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heuff et al (U.S. Patent No. 3,567,552).

With respect to Claims 1-9, 12, 20 and 25, Heuff et al, Figures 1-8, teach a device for severing and carrying a web in a web winding operation, comprising:

a first and second blade, the blades operably connected and spaced apart a predetermined distance to form a gap, the gap is sized to receive a severing mechanism; and a unitary elongated mandrel connected to at least the first blade comprising a plurality of spaced pins.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 3654

With respect to Claim 25, the method described in these claims would inherently result from the use of device of Heuff et al as advanced above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 11, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystrand et al as applied to claims 1-9, 12, 20 and 25 above.

With respect to Claims 10, and 11, Nystrand et al do not mention the spacing of the blades. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice, as determined through routine experimentation and optimization, to dimension the distance of the blades of Nystrand et al or Heuff et al as specified in Claims 10, 11, 18, and 19, line 2 because one of ordinary skill would have been expected to have routinely experimented to determine the optimum dimensions for a particular use.

With respect to Claims 21, 22, 23, and 24, Nystrand et al do not mention the number of pins used. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide Nystrand et al or Heuff et al with the necessary of pins as specified in Claims 21, 22, 23, and 24, line 2 because one of ordinary skill would have been expected to have routinely experimented to determine the optimum dimensions for a particular use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-15 and 17-19 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,313

Art Unit: 3654

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's remarks on page 9, regarding the term "integrated", it should be noted that the term "integrated" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. Therefore the claims read on the Nystrand et al and Heuff references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is (703) 308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 2:00 PM to 10:00 PM.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/040,313

.Art Unit: 3654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688.

Telephone status inquiries regarding this application should be directed to (703) 308-1113. **Facsimile correspondence** for this application should be sent to the following respective numbers:

For **BEFORE FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9326 For **AFTER FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9327

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

November 17, 2003